


Gallman, John H



From: Gallman, John H
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 12:07 PM
To: Gallman, John H

My name is John H. Gallman. I plan on testifying in opposition of Judge Jane Bromell Holmes appointment to the appellate court. My main testimony will be centered around the following qualifications:

1. Professional and academic ability. Judge Holmes violated multiple state and federal statutes in my hearing including allowing the confidential health records of a minor to be made public. In addition, she violated SC Code § 40-75-90 (2022) section D:

(D) No person connected with any complaint, investigation, or other proceeding before the board, including, but not limited to, any witness, counsel, counsel's secretary, board member, board employee, court reporter, or investigator may mention the existence of the complaint, investigation, or other proceeding or disclose any information pertaining to the complaint, investigation, or proceeding, except to persons involved and having a direct interest in the complaint, investigation, or other proceeding and then only to the extent necessary for the proper disposition of the complaint, investigation, or other proceeding. However, if the board receives information in any complaint, investigation, or other proceeding before it indicating a violation of a state or federal law, the board may provide that information, to the extent the board considers necessary, to the appropriate state or federal law enforcement agency or regulatory body. Nothing contained in this section may be construed so as to prevent the board from making public a copy of its final order in any proceeding, as authorized or required by law.

2. Judicial Temperament: I have witnessed firsthand and transcripts will reflect her lack of patience, arrogance, and deficiencies in the area of professionalism. The 2018 JMSC transcripts specifically point to issues that were noted by multiple officers of the court. I see zero evidence of any change in her temperament. I believe her conduct from the bench is an impediment to justice that should disqualify her from be qualified by this commission.

3. Character and reputation. Judge Holmes has consistently been the focal point of numerous grievances and has had numerous articles published concerning her reputation and ethical fitness.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns with this commission.

Kindest regards,


John H. Gallman

